

JOPRAD Regional Meeting



3rd – 4th February, 2016
 Marshal Garden Hotel, Bucharest, Romania

Final Agenda

3 rd February 2016 Session 1: <u>Introduction to Joint Programming</u>			
Chairs: A. van Kalleveen / D. Diaconu			
	Time	Speaker	Presentation title
1.1	09:30	Official Host Country representative: Florian Tatar/ Ion Constantin	Welcome
1.2	09:40	J. Miksova	Objectives of the meeting
1.3	10:00	Ch. Davies	Why Joint Programming?
1.4	10:30	L. Nachmilner	Overview of activities in radioactive waste management and the role of Joint Programming
1.5	11:00	J. Delay	JOPRAD – objectives, structure, outcomes
11:30		Coffee break	
1.6	12:00	J. Delay	Joint Programming: establishing and updating the programme and implementing the first work plan
1.7	12:20	R. Kowe	JOPRAD - establishing the programme; views of the waste management organisations
1.8	12:35	F. Lemy	JOPRAD - establishing the programme; views of the technical support organisations
1.9	12:50	Ch. Bruggeman	JOPRAD - establishing the programme; views of the research entities
1.10	13:05	G. Buckau	JOPRAD - establishing the programme; strategic and horizontal aspects
13:20		Lunch	
3 rd February 2016 Session 2: <u>Engaging Member States</u>			
Chairs: Ch. Serres/ J. Pacovsky			
2.1	14:30	Ch. Poussard	Preparing for Joint Programming - the French approach in identifying mandated actors
2.2	15:00	I. Turcu	Preparing for Joint Programming - the Romanian approach in planning & developing Geological Disposal
15:30		Coffee break	
2.3	16:00	Working Group 1 (WG1) Chair: F. Takats Rapporteur: L. Nachmilner	Exploring the domain of scientific-technical activities covered by the Joint Programming <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What are the scientific-technical topics to be addressed within the Joint Programming? - What are the topics for which existing scientific-technical solutions can be prohibitively expensive for small programmes? - What is the urgency of R&D efforts relevant for particular scientific-technical solutions? - Which scientific-technical solutions would be beneficial for minimising potential delays in the implementation of disposal?

		Working Group 2 (WG2) Chair: D. Diaconu Rapporteur: A. van Kalleveen	Exploring strategic and horizontal activities in the Joint Programming - State of the art/ handbook - Training and Education - Guidance - Documentation, communication and dissemination of information - Strategic Studies - Knowledge Management - Developing and maintaining competence and skills - Know-how transfer between programmes (different status of national programmes)
18:30		Adjourn	
20:00		Dinner	
4th February 2016			
Session 3: <u>Way ahead</u> Chairs: G. Buckau/J. Miksova			
	09:00	DG RTD A. Iatrou	Funding schemes under consideration
	09:30	J. Delay	H2020 ERANET Co-fund vs EJP: Preliminary analysis of the pro and cons of the two instruments
	10:00	Rapporteur of WG 1 L. Nachmilner	Summary of findings of WG1 discussion
	10:30	Rapporteur of WG 2 A. van Kalleveen	Summary of findings of WG2 discussion
11:00		Coffee break	
4th February 2016			
Session 4: <u>Conclusion</u> Chairs: Ch. Davies/ J. Delay			
4.1	11:30	Final discussion: Chairs: Ch.Davies + J. Delay Panelists: - C. Poussard - F.Takats - M. Sepielli - T. Žagar - J. Pacovsky - L. Cizelj - C.Bucur	Final discussion: How can the forthcoming Joint Programming be effective in supporting Member States Radioactive Waste Management Programmes, in particular Geological Disposal, including questions such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to attract Member States to contribute to the JOPRAD project and eventually participate in the Joint Programming? • How can potentially mandated actors become involved in the different JORAD Working Groups? • How are the participants to Joint Programming mandated? • What is the potential support for Member States in implementing the Waste Directive? • How to structure the decision making process in setting RD&D and horizontal activities priorities? • How can responsibilities and governance of the Joint Programme be agreed upon? • How, in which phases and which activities can Civil Society be effectively regarded and/or involved?
4.2	13:00	L. Nachmilner + G. Buckau	Summary and conclusions of the meeting
4.3	13:20	Ch. Davies/J. Delay	Closure of the meeting
13:30		End of the Meeting	